Multi-User Information Theory

December 7th, 2009

Scribe: Yuval Carmel

Lecture 8

Lecturer:Haim Permuter

#### I. CAUSAL STATE INFORMATION OF THE ENCODER

The system illustrated in Figure 1 shows a channel that has a state which distributes according to P(s). The state S is known causally to the decoder, meaning, if the encoder is currently looking at state i, the channel states known to the encoder are  $\{S_i, S_{i-1}, \ldots, S_0\}$  or  $S^i$ .



Fig. 1. System illustration - causal information is known to the encoder

Theorem 1 (Channel capacity when state information is known at the encoder [1])

$$C = \max_{P(u), \ x = f(u,s)} I\left(U;Y\right) \tag{1}$$

$$P(u, s, x, y) = P(s) P(u) P(\underbrace{x|u, s}_{x=f(u,s)} P(y|x, s)$$

$$(2)$$

Where P(s) and P(y|x, s) are given by the communication problem. *Proof:* 

$$nR = H(M)$$
(3)  
=  $H(M) + H(M|Y^{n}) - H(M|Y^{n})$   
=  $I(M;Y^{n}) + H(M|Y^{n})$   
 $\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(M;Y_{i},Y^{i-1}) + n\varepsilon_{n}$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [H(Y_{i}|Y^{i-1}) - H(Y_{i}|Y^{i-1},M)] + n\varepsilon_{n}$ 

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ H\left(Y_{i}\right) - H\left(Y_{i}|Y^{i-1},M\right) \right] + n\varepsilon_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(Y^{i-1},M;Y_{i}\right) + n\varepsilon_{n}$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(\underbrace{Y^{i-1},M,S^{i-1}}_{U_{i}};Y_{i}\right) + n\varepsilon_{n}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(U_{i};Y_{i}\right) + n\varepsilon_{n}$$

$$(4)$$

Where:

- (a) Fano's inequality and memoryless channel.
- (b)  $H(X) \ge H(X|Y)$ . (c)  $I(X;Y,Z) \ge I(X;Y)$ . Some remarks about (c):

$$X_{i} = f\left(M, S^{i}\right) = \tilde{f}\left(M, S^{i}, Y^{i-1}\right)$$

Where  $\tilde{f}$  is a dummy function, since  $\tilde{f}$  doesn't really depends on  $Y^{i-1}$ .

$$R \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(U_i; Y_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(U_q; Y_q | q = i)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\equiv} I(U_Q; Y_Q | Q)$$

$$\leq I(U_Q, Q; Y_Q)$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\equiv} I(U; Y)$$
(6)

Where:

(a) Fano's inequality.

(b)  $P(q) = \frac{1}{n}, Q \sim U\{1, \dots, n\}.$ (c)  $U \triangleq U_Q, Q, Y = Y_Q.$ Does the equation  $x_i = f(U_i, S_i)$  holds?

$$X_i = f(U_i, S_i)$$
  
=  $f(M, S^{i-1}, Y^{i-1}, S_i)$ 

$$= f\left(M, S^{i}, Y^{i-1}\right)$$

Yes, it holds.

Does the relation  $P(u_i, s_i) = P(u_i) P(s_i)$  holds?

$$P(m, s^{i-1}, y^{i-1}, s_i) \stackrel{(a)}{=} P(m) P(s^{i-1}) P(y^{i-1}|m, s^{i-1}) P(s_i)$$
$$= P\left(\underbrace{\underline{m}, s^{i-1}, y^{i-1}}_{u_i}\right) P(s_i)$$
(7)

(a) Using chain rule, M,S are independent, S is i.i.d.. The following Markov chain holds:  $Y_i - (Y_i, S_i) - (M, S^{i-1}, Y^{i-1})$ 

# II. ACHIEVABILITY OF THE CAPACITY

We would like to check if

$$C = \max_{P(u), x = f(U,S)} I\left(U;Y\right) \tag{8}$$

is achievable.



Fig. 2. New channel

Assuming  $U^n$  is i.i.d, is the new channel is memoryless? Yes.

Explenation:

$$P(y_i|u_i, y^{i-1}, u^{i-1}) = P(y_i|u_i)$$
(9)





- Fix P(u), x = f(U, S).
- Code design: Generate  $2^{n(I(U;Y)-\varepsilon)} = 2^{nR}$  codewords using P(u) i.i.d.
- Encoder:  $x_i = f(U_i(m), S_i)$
- Decoder: Find  $U^n(\hat{m})$  that is jointly typical with  $Y^n$ . If such an  $\hat{m}$  does not exists, the decoder declares on error.

Analysis of error:

Assume we send m=1

- E1:  $(U^{n}(1), Y^{n}) \in A_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(U, Y)$
- E2: There exists a  $j \neq 1$  such that  $(U^{n}(j), Y^{n}) \in A_{n}^{(\varepsilon)}(U, Y)$

$$P(E_1) \xrightarrow{L.L.N} 0 \tag{10}$$

$$P(E_{2,j}) = 2^{-n(I(U;Y))}$$
(11)

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{nR}} E_{2,j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=2}^{2^{nR}} 2^{-nI(U;Y)}$$

$$= 2^{n(I(U;Y)-\varepsilon)} 2^{-nI(U;Y)}$$
(12)

### III. STATE IS KNOWN TO ENCODER AND DECODER

In the system illustrated in Figure 4, the causal channel state  $S^i$  is known both to the encoder and the decoder.



Fig. 4. System illustration - Channel state is known both to the encoder and the decoder

Theorem 2 (Channel capacity for a system in which the channel state known for the encoder and the decoder)

$$C = \max_{P(x|s)} I(X;Y|S)$$
(13)

Show that:

$$C = \max_{\substack{P(u), x = f(U,S)}} I(U; Y, S)$$
  
= 
$$\max_{\substack{P(x|s)}} I(X; Y|S)$$
(14)

Proof:

$$C = \max_{P(u),x=f(U,S)} I(U;Y,S)$$
(15)  

$$= \max_{P(u),x=f(U,S)} [I(U;S) + I(U;Y|S)]$$
(15)  

$$= \max_{P(u),x=f(U,S)} I(U;Y|S)$$
(16)  

$$= \max_{P(u),x=f(U,S)} [H(Y|S) - H(Y|S,X)]$$
(16)

Since P(x|s) can be represented as a function of U, S where, U and S are independent, we can now write:

$$C = \max_{P(x|s)} I\left(Y; X|S\right) \tag{17}$$

Achievability proof can also be found at [2].

#### IV. NON-CAUSAL STATE INFORMATION AT THE DECODER

State sequence S is known only at the decoder.



Fig. 5. System illustration

$$m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR}\}$$
 (18)

- Encoder:  $x_i = f(m, S^n), f: M \times S^n \longmapsto X^n$
- Decoder:  $Y^n \longmapsto \hat{M}$
- Probability of error:  $P_e^{(n)} = P\left(M \neq \hat{M}\right)$
- R achievable rate, if there exists a sequence of codes  $\left(2^{nR},n\right)$  such that  $P_e^{(n)} 
  ightarrow 0$
- Capacity is the suprimum

Example 1 [3]

S 
$$P(s)$$
  $P(y|x,s)$   
2  $1-p$   $1$   $0$   $0$   
1  $p$   $1$   $1$   
1  $\frac{p}{2}$   $1$   $1$   
0  $\frac{p}{2}$   $1$   $1$   
0  $\frac{p}{2}$   $1$   $1$ 

Fig. 6. Memory with defect example

- 1) If the state is known at the encoder and decoder, at what rate one can write? Answer: 1 P
- 2) If the state is not known at all, what one can write? Answer:  $1 - H\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)$ , see Figure 7
- If the state is known only at the encoder (non-casual), at what rate one can write? Answer: 1 − P, see Equation 22



Fig. 7. Channel with error

Theorem 3 (Gelfand - Pinsker [4])

$$C_{non\ causal} = \max_{P(u,s), x=f(U,S), P(y|x,s)} I(U;Y) - I(U;S)$$
(19)

One result is:

$$I(U;Y) - I(U;S) = H(U) - H(H|Y) - H(U) + H(U|S)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(U|S) - H(U|Y)$$
(20)

(a) U and S are dependent

Reminder for the causal case:

$$C_{causal} = \max_{P(u), x = f(U,S)} I(U;Y) - I(U;S)$$
(21)

Solving the memory example

Note that:

S 
$$P(y|x,s)$$
  
2  $1 \xrightarrow{0} 0$   
1  $U \sim Bernoulli(\frac{1}{2})$   
1  $0$   
1  $U = S = 1$   
0  $1 \xrightarrow{0} 0$   
U = S = 0

Fig. 8. Memory with defect example

$$C = H(U|S) - H(U|Y)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(U|S)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} 1 \cdot (1 - P)$$
(22)

(a) H(U|Y) = 0

(b) H(U|S=2) = 1, P(S=2) = 1 - P

## Min-Max relation

$$\max_{a} \min_{b} f(a, b) \le \min_{b} \max_{a} f(a, b)$$
(23)

Proof:

$$\min_{b'} f(a,b') \le f(a,b) \,\forall a,b \tag{24}$$

$$\max_{a} \min_{b'} f(a, b') \le \max_{a} f(a, b) \,\forall b \tag{25}$$

In particular it is true for

$$b^* = \arg\min_{b} \max_{a} f(a, b) \tag{26}$$

#### References

- C. E. Shannon, "Channels with side information at the transmitter," *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 289, 1958.
- [2] A. Goldsmith and P. Varaiya, "Capacity of fading channels with channel side information," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions* on, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1986–1992, Nov 1997.
- [3] K. A. Vasil'evich and T. B. Solomonovich, "Coding in a memory with defective cells," *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 52–60, 1974.
- [4] S. I. Gelfand and M. S. Pinsker, "Coding for channel with random parameters," Probl. Control Inf. Theory, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 19–31, 1980.